

January 30, 2019

Mr. Matthew Didier
77 West Jackson Boulevard
Mail Code SB-5J
Chicago, IL 60604-3507

**RE: NARRATIVE INFORMATION SHEET FOR FY 2019 COMMUNITY WIDE
ASSESSMENT GRANT**

Dear Mr. Didier:

Please find below the required information for the listed grant.

1. APPLICANT IDENTIFICATION: PORT AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF SAINT PAUL
380 ST. PETER STREET, SUITE #850
SAINT PAUL, MN 55102
2. FUNDING REQUESTED:
 - a. Assessment Grant Type: Community-Wide
 - b. Federal Funds Requested:
 - i. \$300,000
 - ii. NO cost share waiver requested
 - c. Contamination: BOTH, Hazardous Substances (\$150,000) and Petroleum (\$150,000)
3. LOCATION: City of Saint Paul, Ramsey County, MN
4. PROPERTY INFORMATION FOR SITE SPECIFIC PROPOSALS: N/A
5. CONTACTS:
 - a. Project Director:
Ava Langston-Kenney, Project Manager
380 St. Peter St., Suite 850, Saint Paul MN 55102
Desk: 651-204-6227, Fax: 651-223-5198
Email: alk@sppa.com



- b. Chief Executive Officer:
Lee Krueger, President
380 St. Peter St. Suite 850, Saint Paul MN 55102
Office: 651-204-6233, Fax: 651-223-5198
Email: ljkr@sppa.com
6. POPULATION:
City of Saint Paul population 304,442
 ○ Greater East Side neighborhood population 28,535
7. OTHER FACTORS CHECKLIST:

Other Factors	Page #
Community population is 10,000 or less.	NO
The applicant is, or will assist, a federally recognized Indian tribe or United States territory.	NO
The priority brownfield site(s) is impacted by mine-scarred land.	NO
The priority site(s) is adjacent to a body of water (i.e., the border of the priority site(s) is contiguous or partially contiguous to the body of water or would be contiguous or partially contiguous with a body of water but for a street, road, or other public thoroughfare separating them).	NO
The priority site(s) is in a federally designated flood plain.	NO
The redevelopment of the priority site(s) will facilitate renewable energy from wind, solar, or geothermal energy; or any energy efficiency improvement projects.	YES (P.2)
30% or more of the overall project will be spent on eligible reuse planning activities for priority brownfield site(s) within target area.	NO

8. LETTER FROM STATE ENVIRONMENTAL AUTHORITY: Attached

Please contact Ava Langston-Kenney, project director for this grant, at the above contact information with any questions related to this application.

Sincerely,



Monte Hilleman, Senior Vice President of Real Estate and Development

NARRATIVE/RANKING CRITERIA

1. PROJECT AREA DESCRIPTION AND PLANS FOR REVITALIZATION

a. Target Area and Brownfields

i. Background and Description of Target Area

Saint Paul and Minneapolis together are referred to as the Twin Cities. The Twin Cities Metro Area is a seven-county area that surrounds and encompasses the Twin Cities. Over 54% of the state's populations calls the Twin Cities Metro Area home. Overall, the Twin Cities Metro Area consistently ranks towards the top for employment and home ownership compared to the its 25 metro area peers across the country, but when the data is spit out by race, huge disparities between white and people of color become apparent. About 75% of white households own their own homes, but only 23% of black households do. While only 5.7% of Twin Cities residents have incomes below the poverty rate, over 21% of residents of color in the Twin Cities have incomes below the poverty rate -- a statistic that leaves the Twin Cities with the worst disparity rate among the 25 largest metropolitan regions in the nation.

The City of Saint Paul, named as the Capital of the State of Minnesota in 1858, has seen industry and commerce dating back to the mid-1800s. Saint Paul's economy initially centered around the lumber industry and commerce transported along the Mississippi River. Railroad corridors were developed in Saint Paul in the second half of the 19th century. By the turn of the century these corridors crisscrossed the City, including the lines of the Great Northern, Burlington Northern and Northern Pacific railroads. Industries developed along the river and railroad corridors through the 1960s. Notable among the major employers in Saint Paul were the 3M Company, with its world headquarters and all its manufacturing facilities, Whirlpool Corporation, the Hamm's Brewery (later the Stroh's Brewery), the Maxson Steel Company, Ford Motor Company, and facilities supporting the railroads. These major employers were at the heart of the City's vibrant economy.

Beginning in the 1960's with 3M's relocation to the suburbs and continuing through the closure of the Ford Motor truck manufacturing plant in 2011, Saint Paul has seen a steady decline in major job centers, pulling local neighborhood economies into a downward spiral. Job losses due to the closing and downsizing of these major manufacturing enterprises altered the economic and cultural landscape of Saint Paul's neighborhoods. Jobs left the core city, and residents with disposable incomes followed. As a result, commercial corridors slipped from thriving prosperity to blighted decline, leaving behind a legacy of underdeveloped or vacant brownfield sites, which has in turn depressed property values in adjacent neighborhoods.

The Saint Paul Port Authority's (SPPA's) mission is to create quality job opportunities, expand the tax base, address environmental justice, and advance sustainable and equitable development. The chief means of accomplishing this goal is the redevelopment of industrial and commercial brownfield properties into new commercial/industrial "business centers."

While the downtown area of Saint Paul has recently seen an economic resurgence as residents with disposable income and jobs move back, other areas of the City lag or have been left out of this resurgence. Using census data, Police Crime Reports, Minnesota Department of Health data, District Community Council Neighborhood Plans and the City of Saint Paul 2040 Comprehensive Plan, SPPA has identified neighborhoods within Saint Paul in greatest need for assistance, based on economic indicators and racial disparities. Saint Paul's Greater East Side neighborhood includes 10% of the people unemployed in the entire City of Saint Paul. Unemployment rate for people of color is nearly double the unemployment rate for white people in the neighborhood. More than a quarter of Greater East Side residents live with an income below the poverty level. The Greater East Side has one of the highest rates of Cost-burdened households in the city. People of color make up 61% of all Greater East Side residents. **SPPA seeks the proposed grant to identify and assess brownfield sites throughout the City, with special emphasis on sites within the targeted Greater East Side Neighborhood, with the goal of**

remediating, assembling, and preparing properties to create developable sites for job-dense business centers.

ii. Description of the Priority Brownfield Site (s)

SPPA is aware of at least two brownfield sites in the Greater East Side neighborhood with strong redevelopment potential if the environmental barriers to redevelopment can be removed through assessment and cleanup of the properties. SPPA believes that redevelopment of the following sites would bring immediate and substantial positive social and economic benefits to the neighborhood: **1) Hazelwood.** The 30-acre site has been vacant for over twenty years. Currently, the property is overgrown and is being used for illegal dumping, vagrancy, and other illegal activities. Historically the site was used for demolition debris dumping and burial. In the more recent past, the site was leased to an adjacent concrete plant as a truck washout area. The potential for hazardous and solid waste to exist at the site is strong. **2) Hillcrest.** The 110-acre site is currently vacant. Most recently the property was used as a golf course, which included storage and handling of significant amounts of herbicides, pesticides, and other chemicals, and reported petroleum releases. The property is adjacent to a railroad corridor, which may have impacted the site. Uses prior to the recreational golf course and any additional information on the potential for contamination are unknown.

b. Revitalization of the Target Area

i. Redevelopment Strategy and Alignment with Revitalization Plans

Our Brownfields Program goals are consistent with the City of Saint Paul's 2040 Comprehensive Plan, developed with considerable citizen input which identifies "Providing Land for Jobs" as one of the Land Use Strategies for achieving the Plan's primary goal of "creating a city that is economically strong, environmentally responsible, vibrant, and attractive". The Plan names SPPA as the key partner in redeveloping industrial land to "provide land for jobs". The City's Small Area Plan for District 2 (Greater East Side District Plan Neighborhood) specifically identifies promoting Mixed Use Transit Oriented redevelopment in Hillcrest area and the Hazelwood areas. SPPA intends to analyze environmental conditions, evaluate liability exposure, develop cleanup cost estimates and prepare cleanup plans. SPPA will remove environmental and geotechnical barriers and prepare the priority sites for redevelopment. SPPA will develop a Master Plan, which can be supported in the market. Priority Site property will be sold to a private developer or end user with a development plan that meets SPPA goals of density, livable wage jobs, neighborhood workforce utilization and sustainability.

ii. Outcomes and Benefits of Redevelopment Strategy

The Assessment Grant funds will be available throughout the City with special emphasis on the Greater East Side neighborhood. The SPPA has identified two brownfield properties in the Greater East Side, which are currently targeted as Priority Sites for assessment and cleanup planning. All the targeted properties have existing infrastructure that can accommodate the SPPA's redevelopment strategy. In addition to creating new job opportunities and increasing the economic competitiveness of the Greater East Side neighborhood, the SPPA redevelopment strategy includes community benefits agreements requiring businesses building within our Business Centers to commit to job creation and local hiring (minimum 1 job/1,000SF building, goal of 70% new hires for Saint Paul residents with 2 for 1 credit for hires from the immediate area zip codes). This redevelopment strategy also includes the incorporating sustainable design practices and requiring whole building energy simulation to model building materials and equipment to maximize energy conservation opportunities. The proposed "outcome" of the assessment activity will be increased community vibrancy in the form of more jobs for City/Greater East Side residents, increased tax revenue, and replacement of blight with attractive, green buildings and sustainable landscaped spaces in our new Business Centers.

The SPPA remediates contaminated land and sells it to business owners who build various

types of commercial facilities. Many of these building owners also utilize the Trillion BTU and Property Assessed Clean Energy (PACE) loan programs, administered through the St. Paul Port Authority, to finance renewable energy and energy efficiency upgrades to their properties. The financing can be used to replace outdated equipment and invest in renewable energy if the estimated payback from energy savings is less than 20 years. To date these programs have provided over \$100 million in funds to complete projects throughout the state, including many previously contaminated properties the SPPA helped remediate.

c. Strategy for Leveraging Resources

i. Resources Needed for Site Reuse

The Assessment Grant funds are a single funding tool; additional sources are needed to complete site acquisition, site preparation, demolition, environmental cleanup planning, remediation, environmental liability protections, soil stabilization, site utilities, and other redevelopment activities. The following is a list of funding sources that the SPPA has used for cleanup planning, remediation, site preparation, and redevelopment of brownfields in the past and will seek to use for sites assessed with this grant:

MN Dept of Employment and Economic Development (DEED) Contamination Cleanup Grant Program: This program provides grants for assessment, cleanup planning, and remediation of brownfield sites. The SPPA regularly applies for, obtains, and manages these grants. The SPPA is confident in our ability to obtain future grants for sites that need cleanup planning and remediation. Over \$32,000,000 has been leveraged in DEED cleanup funding since 1995, helping to cleanup and redevelop dozens of brownfield sites.

DEED Redevelopment and Infrastructure Grants: These grants are available to address costs of land acquisition, demolition, infrastructure improvements, soil stabilization, stormwater management, other environmental infrastructure and adaptive reuse of buildings. The SPPA has been successful in obtaining these grants when needed. In the last two decades SPPA has secured nine of these grants totaling nearly \$7 million.

Metropolitan Council Tax Based Revitalization Account Funds: This program provides grants to investigate and clean up brownfields for economic redevelopment projects that enhance the tax base while promoting job retention or job growth and/or the production of affordable housing. The SPPA has been consistently successful in winning these grants. Over \$12,000,000 has been leveraged in Metropolitan Council funds since 1996.

Ramsey County Environmental Response Fund (ERF): ERF grant funds are used for remediation in combination with the State and Metropolitan Council grants for remediation projects within Ramsey County. The SPPA has been consistently successful in securing these grants. Over \$2,600,000 has been leveraged in Ramsey County ERF funds since 2000.

U.S. EPA Brownfield Restoration Revolving Loan Fund (BRRLF): The SPPA currently has nearly \$1.5M available to loan for remediation activities that will facilitate brownfields redevelopment. Once environmental assessment and cleanup planning has been completed at identified sites, SPPA can leverage BRRLF funds to complete remediation activities.

Tax Increment and More: Tax Increment Financing is typically used on our larger business center sites to help offset the significant public investment required to return these properties to the tax rolls. Funding has also been secured from Capital Region Watershed District, arts organizations, environmental organizations and the philanthropic community, including the National Endowment for the Humanities, National Endowment for the Arts, and MN Legacy Funds.

The state and local brownfield Grant Programs described above all award grants on a competitive basis. SPPA would have a competitive advantage for securing these grants if Phase I and Phase II assessment activities and cleanup planning has been completed for the sites which it is preparing state and local grant applications.

ii. Use of Existing Infrastructure

Both sites targeted by this grant application are within fully developed urban neighborhoods. Transit access, trunk sanitary sewer, stormwater, electric, gas and other small utilities are generally available within close proximity. Distribution within the site will be funded through state and local resources. Not only do these sites reuse existing major infrastructure, their redevelopment also places quality job opportunities near the workforce that most needs them.

2. COMMUNITY NEED AND COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT

a. Community Need

i. The Community's Need for Funding

Brownfield sites in Saint Paul are strongly concentrated along transportation corridors where large, industrial job centers were formerly located. The Greater East Side neighborhood is located along a major transportation corridor. Job losses due to the closing of job centers along the corridor altered the economic and cultural landscape of the neighborhood. The economic decline brought blighted conditions, which has in turn depressed property values, and thus property taxes have steadily decreased. Property taxes generated by the large employment centers have fallen dramatically as these properties have become underutilized. The low-income Greater East Side does not have the means to fund environmental assessment of its brownfields properties. The Saint Paul Port Authority (SPPA) mission is to assist neighborhoods in the City of Saint Paul with redeveloping brownfield properties, which the private sector is unable to see sufficient return on investment due to environmental issues. SPPA suffered through several years of lean economic times from 2009 to 2017, during which we had no access to brownfield acquisition funds. Recently SPPA issued \$8M in general obligation bonds, which has provided the means to begin property acquisition. We were able to build sufficient community and political support for this bond issue, based upon our strong track record of brownfield cleanup and economic development performance. As a result of the acquisition hiatus, we have a substantial pipeline of several of projects throughout the City in need of public sector assistance. These projects have been under granular analysis over the past several months to prioritize those that are in the most need, the most cost-effective, the most protective of human health and the environment, and offer the best opportunities for reinvestment in the urban core that will change lives. Properties within the Greater East Side have risen to the top of our redevelopment plans due to the great need of this low-income neighborhood.

ii. Threats to Sensitive Populations

(1) Health or Welfare of Sensitive Populations

Brownfield properties are a threat to sensitive populations either through direct exposure to contamination, or by the blight and related social welfare impacts that limit redevelopment and economic activity. Contamination threats are compounded with other welfare issues faced by the Saint Paul's Greater East Side. For example, the Greater East Side has some of the highest crime rates in Saint Paul. In 2017 it had the 5th highest call in rate for murder, rape, aggravated assaults. About 7% of both calls for these crimes and calls for services come from the Greater East Side. Lack of access to affordable, quality housing also plagues sensitive populations in the Greater East Side. Reducing the cumulative impacts of exposure to contamination from brownfields sites in the neighborhood will benefit the neighborhood sensitive populations.

(2) Greater than Normal Incidence of Disease and Adverse Health Conditions

An environmental issue that is affecting health of sensitive populations include the presence of major commuting arteries that surrounding the Greater East Side. Several studies have determined airborne pollutants from vehicles have a direct and adverse effect on human health. Air quality concerns for the Greater East Side neighborhood include air toxins from gasoline and diesel burning engines, particulate matter from residential wood burning and ozone due to the reaction of sunlight with air pollutants. Environmental impacts often contribute to low quality of life and public health issues like asthma. The Greater East Side consists of two zip codes; 55106,

and 55119, they have the 3rd and 15th highest rates, respectively, of asthma attacks in the state of Minnesota. From 2011 to 2015, there were 482 children under the age of 14 that were hospitalized for asthma attacks. Reducing the cumulative impacts of exposure to contamination from brownfields sites in the neighborhood will benefit neighborhood residents suffering from asthma.

(3) Economically Impoverished/Disproportionately Impacted Populations

Crime, low quality of life and the lack of quality paying jobs opportunities are poverty indicators that compound the negative impacts on the Greater East Side's environment, health, and welfare do to a high number of brownfields sites. Brownfields sites in Saint Paul are strongly concentrated along transportation corridors where large, industrial job centers were formerly located. A disproportionately high percent of property within the Greater East Side compared to the rest of the City lies is within major transportation corridors. As such, the Greater East Side has a high concentration of brownfields property. Twenty-five percent of residents in the Greater East Side live below the poverty level. Median household income for the Greater East Side is nearly \$7,000 less than the City of Saint Paul as a whole.

The redevelopment of brownfield sites within the Greater East Side, facilitated by environmental assessment of these sites, will reduce sensitive population exposure to contamination, alleviate health impacts on populations with elevated incidents of disease and adverse health effects, and increase opportunities for livable wage jobs for neighborhood residents and add tax base, which can be funneled back into neighborhood revitalization.

b. Community Engagement

i. Community Involvement

SPPA works closely with the neighborhood District Councils on every brownfields project. This includes involving the Councils with site selection prioritization, community communications, assessment, cleanup, and redevelopment of sites. District Councils are a vital component of our community engagement approach. Historically the local non-profit Community Development Corporation in the area works to complement our brownfields projects by focusing housing and commercial redevelopment activity near our Business Centers.

The East Side Employment Exchange is a collaboration of 11 organizations (American Indian Family Center, East Side Financial Center, CLUES, Goodwill Easter Seals, Hmong American Partnership, Latino Economic Development Agency, Merrick, Metro State University, Urban Roots, Dayton's Bluff Community Council, Neighborhood Development Alliance), and is single point of access to connect job-seekers, employers and service providers. Truly a one-stop-shop.

Other governmental partners include City Departments (most notably the Department of Planning), Ramsey County, business associations, chambers of commerce, regional Metropolitan Council, and state governmental entities. Recognizing that all these partners are crucial, not just to creating a vision for a livable city, but also to achieving the vision, SPPA has cultivated-and obsessively tended partnerships with all these entities. Our state and local funding partners provide invaluable assistance on every brownfields project SPPA undertakes.

List of Project Partners

Partner Name	Point of contact (name/email/phone)	Specific role in the project
District 2 Planning Council	Chuck Repke, Executive Director, (651) 774-2220, chuckrepke@aol.com	Facilitate Community Input to Project Plans
City of Saint Paul	Luis Pereira, Planning Director, (651) 266-6633, luis.pereira@ci.stpaul.mn.us	Cooperative Planning/Plan Consistency/Public Outreach

MN Pollution Control Agency	Shanna Schmitt, PG, CPG, 651-757-2697, shanna.schmitt@state.mn.us	Regulatory Assistance /Voluntary Investigation and Cleanup Program Oversight
MN Department of Employment and Economic Development (DEED)	Kristin Lukes, Director, Brownfields, 651-259-7451, kristin.lukes@state.mn.us	Job creation incentives and Cleanup Funding
Ramsey County	Martha Faust, Director, Community and Ed, 651-266-9200	Planning Program Consistency and funding assistance.
Minnesota Metropolitan Council	Marcus Martin, Livable Communities, 651-602-1059, Marcus.martin@metc.state.mn.us	Planning Program Consistency and Funding
East Side Area Business Assoc	Paris Dunning, Executive Director, 651-621-2766, paris@esaba.org	Community business location and Business Center design input.
East Side Employment Exchange	Ann Tyler, atyler@clues.org, 651-632-3870	Connecting Businesses located on former brownfield properties with community job seekers.
Capital Region Watershed Dist.	Mark Doneux, Administrator, 651-644-8888, mark@capitolregionwd.org	Funding innovative project specific stormwater uses

Incorporation Community Input

In 2012, we began a community engagement strategy around research commissioned by SPPA from the Initiative for a Competitive Inner City (ICIC), a non-profit founded by Professor Michael Porter from Harvard Business School that researches urban core revitalization strategies. To date we have brought this research to over 100 organizations from non-profits to advocacy organizations to business groups. We use this information to begin a new discussion about the value of repurposed brownfields and the industry that typically locates on cleaned up SPPA sites. In Saint Paul, commercial/industrial uses generate \$1.08-\$1.12 in tax revenue for every dollar they demand in services. By contrast, residential uses generate only \$0.85-0.90 for every dollar they demand in services. With one third of Saint Paul's land area being tax exempt (as the government, education and religious capitol of the state), it is necessary to preserve industrial land and tax base to pay for services the City neighborhoods increasingly demand. To communicate specific progress to the Greater East Side, SPPA will convene neighborhood meetings to define neighborhood needs, prioritize sites for assessment, understand market demand for properties, discuss site reuse plans, and align funding resources. This includes presentations and update meetings with community groups at board meetings, committee meetings, land use policy and planning meetings, and one-on-one and group stakeholder meetings. SPPA will include information on the Assessment Grant activity on its web site. When cleanup plans are prepared, SPPA will work with the community partners to obtain neighborhood input into decisions and incorporate Greater East Side land use preferences into redevelopment plans.

3. TASK DESCRIPTIONS, COST ESTIMATES, AND MEASURING PROGRESS

a. Description of Tasks and Activities

Task 1 - Community Engagement and Planning: Saint Paul Port Authority (SPPA) commits its own staff and resources to complete community engagement activities, which includes meeting with community partners individually and in group meetings, presenting at community meetings and conducting community involvement activities. These activities will be provided with in-kind services. Planning activities, including site reuse assessment, land reuse assessment, and market studies will be completed by a qualified environmental professional. SPPA will oversee

this work with in-kind staff time. Estimated Grant Cost: \$25,000

Task 2 - Site Inventory, Access, and Selection: This task involves compiling an inventory of brownfield sites potentially ready for redevelopment, preparation of Brownfield Site and Owner Eligibility Determinations (EDs), selecting sites for assessment and securing site access for selected sites. A qualified environmental professional will assist SPPA with ED preparations, securing site access and other aspects site selection. SPPA commits its own staff to complete the work, including overseeing contracted work. SPPA will pay for staff time with in-kind resources and pay for additional contractual costs necessary to complete Task 2 activities (e.g. legal) with its own resources. Estimated Grant Cost: \$4,000.

Task 3 - Site Assessments: Selected sites will be evaluated through performance of Phase I and Phase II Assessments. The Assessment activities will be completed by a qualified environmental professional. SPPA will oversee the work with in-kind staff time. Estimated Grant Cost: \$222,000.

Task 4 - Cleanup Planning: This task involves the preparation of a Response Action Plans (RAP) in accordance with Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) guidelines, the preparation of volume and cost estimates, and the assessment of redevelopment and remediation alternatives. This work will be performed by qualified environmental professionals. SPPA will oversee the work with in-kind staff time. Estimated Grant Cost: \$40,000

Task 5 - Eligible Programmatic Expenses: This task involves meeting the requirements of the Cooperative Agreement, including but not limited to preparing quarterly reports, annual financial reports, MBE/WBE reports, and preparing payment requests, entering data into the ACRES database, and general communication with EPA. These tasks will be conducted by SPPA staff using in-kind resources, and with assistance from a qualified environmental professional. SPPA staff will travel to EPA's National Brownfield and other brownfield related conferences. Estimated Grant Cost: \$9,000

The SPPA will perform the community engagement activities described above continuously throughout the 3-year grant period. SPPA anticipates all reuse assessments, land reuse assessments, and market studies will be completed within the first year of the grant period. SPPA will compile a site inventory, prepare at least 1 site ED and at least 1 Phase I within the first year of the grant period. By the end of year two of the period, the SPPA will have completed remaining EDs for site selection, completed at least 1 additional Phase I, at least 1 Phase II, and at least 1 RAP. Remaining Phase I, Phase II, and RAP activities will be completed in year three of the grant period. Programmatic activities will be performed throughout the 3-year grant period as required.

b. Cost estimates and Outputs

Assessment Grant Budget Table – Hazardous Substance Funds

Project Tasks – Hazardous Substance Funds						
Budget Category	Com Engmt and Planning	Inv, Access & Selection	Site Assessments	Cleanup Planning	Programmatic Expenditures	Total
Personnel	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Fringe Benefits	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Travel	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,500	\$2,500
Equipment	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Contractual	\$12,500	\$2,000	\$111,000	\$20,000	\$2,000	\$147,500
Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total	\$12,500	\$2,000	\$111,000	\$20,000	\$4,500	\$150,000

Assessment Grant Budget Table – Petroleum Funds

Project Tasks – Petroleum Funds						
Budget Category	Com Engmt and Planning	Inv, Access & Selection	Site Assessments	Cleanup Planning	Programmatic Expenditures	Total
Personnel	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Fringe Benefits	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Travel	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,500	\$2,500
Equipment	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Contractual	\$12,50	\$2,000	\$111,000	\$20,000	\$2,000	\$147,500
Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total	\$12,500	\$2,000	\$111,000	\$20,000	\$4,500	\$150,000

Travel: Staff attending one National Brownfield Conference is estimated at approximate \$1,500 per trip for each staff member and approximately \$1,000 per trip for each staff member to attend a regional conference. These costs are split equally between the Hazardous Substance Budget and the Petroleum Budget.

Contractor: Preparation of site and land reuse assessments are estimated to cost \$7,500 per site, market studies are estimated to cost \$10,000 per site. SPPA anticipates 2 site and land reuse assessments and 1 market study will be completed with funds from the grant. Contractual services required for the site selection process are estimated at \$500 to \$1,000 per site. Phase I ESA costs are estimated to range from \$3,000 to \$5,000. For budget planning purposes, we have used an average of \$4,000 per Phase I ESA, attributing two to the petroleum budget (total \$8,000) and two to the hazardous substances budget (\$8,000). Phase II costs are more difficult to predict, because the cost is dependent in part on the size of the site. For budget planning purposes, we have planned on 1 hazardous substance Phase II ESA at a total cost of \$103,000. We have planned on two petroleum Phase II ESAs at a total cost of \$103,000 total. Based on SPPA experience conducting cleanup planning for 8 sites in the last 10 years, contractual work necessary to complete and secure MPCA approval of a RAP is estimated at \$20,000 per RAP; and assumes 1 RAP per grant. SPPA will provide 12 quarterly reports, 3 annual financial reports, and 3 annual MBE/WBE reports per grant. SPPA will enter data into the ACRES database and communicate with EPA on an as needed basis. Based on SPPA experience managing 2 EPA assessment grants, 2 EPA cleanup grants, and one EPA RLF grant over the last 10 years, SPPA estimates contracted costs at approximately \$2,000 per grant.

Personnel, Fringe benefits, Equipment, Supplies and Other costs: SPPA will pay for these costs its own funds and will not request grant fund reimbursement of these items.

c. Measuring Environmental Results

SPPA will report upon the outcomes and outputs associated with grant activity on a routine basis through quarterly reports and regular data input into the ACRES database. SPPA will also track whether they are meeting the grant work plan expectations and report upon this in the quarterly progress reports; SPPA will confer with the EPA Program Manager regarding any difficulties meeting work plan goals. Of particular note, the SPPA may be the only grant recipient in the country that can also identify the carbon emissions and energy efficiency ratings (in kBtu/SF/yr or Energy Use Intensity metric) for building constructed on sites assessed or remediated with EPA funding.

SPPA maintains accurate accounting records of the tax-base increase, job creation and private and public funds leveraged at each redevelopment project. These established procedures form the foundation of our tracking program. In addition, we will canvass our community partners

to determine if there are additional outputs and outcomes that would be helpful to track based upon their needs.

4. PROGRAMMATIC CAPABILITY AND PAST PERFORMANCE

a. Programmatic Capability

i. Organizational Structure

The Minnesota Legislature established the Saint Paul Port Authority (SPPA) as a non-profit governmental agency in 1929 to manage the Saint Paul Harbor. From 1932-1964, four river shipping terminals were established. All 4 ports continue to be managed by the SPPA, stimulating the local economy through good paying jobs and property tax revenue. In 1955, the Legislature authorized the Port to engage in economic development activities inland. Our first redevelopment project, Riverview Business Center, was built in 1962. Since then, 19 more inland Business Centers have been developed, housing approximately 550 companies and 25,000 good paying jobs in the City of Saint Paul. The SPPA is managed by a 7-member Board of Commissioners. The Commissioners are appointed by the Mayor of the City of Saint Paul to six-year terms. There are 2 members of the Saint Paul City Council that sit on the Board.

SPPA is ready and able to administer this assessment grant, should it be awarded. During her 20 + year career in California as a Brownfield Coordinator, and Regulatory Manager of a Regional Water, Waste Water and Stormwater Utility, SPPA Project Manager Ava Langston-Kenney has managed many projects in which Community Organizations, Governmental Agencies (Local, State and Federal), consultants and contractors efforts needed to be carefully coordinated to achieve project completion. In the City of Stockton, the CA State Department of Motor Vehicles Building and the Stockton Events Center; in the City of Sacramento, the Matsui Federal Courthouse, Curtis Park Village Redevelopment and the Pannell Community Center; all construction projects on brownfields that required assessment and remediation; several were adjacent to 303D listed waterways and one won the 2008 EPA Region 9 Phoenix Award. She initiated, wrote and administered the \$200,000 Federal EPA Brownfields Pilot Grant for the City of Sacramento Downtown Railyards Project and subsequent EPA Site Specific Assessment grants. Most recently, for the past 2 years, Ms. Langston-Kenney has been managing Brownfield projects for SPPA, overseeing grant compliance and managing much of the SPPA's contracted assessment activity.

SPPA hires environmental consultants to conduct assessments and cleanups of contaminated property, and grant management consultants to provide expertise in EPA Brownfields grant management. SPPA uses an open and broadly advertised procurement process that is consistent with 40 CFR 31.36 to procure consultant expertise.

The Finance Department tracks project invoices after Ms. Langston-Kenney has coded them as eligible under the grant. SPPA Cash Analyst, Ms. Sarah Savelle, maintains the invoice tracking spreadsheets and conducts the grant reimbursement draws.

ii. Acquiring Additional Resources

SPPA uses an open and broadly advertised procurement process that is consistent with 40 CFR 31.36 to select the consultants. SPPA most recently completed a procurement process in 2016, selecting five environmental consultants with expertise in brownfields assessment and cleanup. SPPA Project Managers have the authority to select environmental consultants from this pool to complete the activities proposed in this grant application.

b. Past Performance and Accomplishments

i. Currently Has or Previously Received an EPA Brownfields

SPPA has received multiple EPA Brownfields Grants since the late 1990s. Recent grants include:

FY2012 RLF Grant including \$600,000 for hazardous substances and \$500,000 for petroleum, with supplemental RLF funds added in 2013 (\$250,000 Hazardous Substances), 2015 (\$500,000

Petroleum), and 2016 (\$200,000 Petroleum);
FY2012 Assessment Grant including \$200,000 for hazardous substances and \$200,000 for petroleum substances;
FY2010 Cleanup Grant for Beacon Bluff.

(1) Accomplishments

During the terms of the grants referenced above SPPA has completed Phase I and Phase II site assessment and developed cleanup plans for five sites. Over 70 acres have been cleaned up and 617 jobs created or retained across five Business Centers or business sites. The tax base at properties for which EPA grant funds have been used has increased by over \$1,740,000. During this period, SPPA has also leveraged approximately \$13,500,000 in public funds and \$74,000,000 private funds. All outputs and outcomes have been reported accurately and timely in ACRES since inception of the ACRES reporting system.

At the SPPA Beacon Bluff Business Center, site assessment and cleanup has been completed. On Parcel 2, a 250,000-sq. ft. building anticipated to add at least 250 jobs will be completed in 2019. On Parcel 3 North, adaptive building reuse of the original 3M Company headquarters building was completed in 2017 and is fully leased. The East Side Clinic opened for business on Parcel 3 South in 2013. Parcel 4 East is occupied by Loomis Armored US. A 57,000-sq. ft. building occupied by 130 jobs was completed on Parcel 5 West in 2015 and a 90,000-sq. ft. building, anticipated to add at least 90 jobs was completed on Parcel 5 East in December 2018. At Midway Stadium Business Center in Energy Park; construction of a 190,000-sq. ft. commercial/industrial building was completed in November 2016, 90% leased and home to approximately 250 jobs.

The SPPA often sells the development ready property at a cost below market rate and enters a covenant with the buyer. In return for providing a reduced price on the land, the SPPA secures benefits to the City of Saint Paul and the neighborhoods it is targeting for assistance, including aesthetic value of the appearance of new building, energy efficiency of the new building, number of Jobs the project will produce, and local hiring requirements. Its redevelopment strategy at these sites involves environmental assessment, environmental cleanup, geotechnical correction, demolition, and infrastructure improvements. The end use at these redeveloped sites are job producing light manufacturing, commercial, and/or office use. The SPPA intends to follow this well-honed redevelopment strategy when it redevelops sites for which EPA assessment grant funds will be used.

(2) Compliance with Grant Requirements

SPPA developed Work Plans and successfully negotiated Cooperative Agreements (CAs) for the referenced U.S. EPA grants. Eligibility Determinations were sought and obtained for each Site where funds were to be spent. For the RLF loans and cleanup grants at 3M/Beacon Bluff, SPPA worked with the U.S. EPA to ensure that the requirements of the National Historic Preservation Act were met. SPPA also maintained community involvement in all cleanup projects. SPPA complied with quarterly reporting, annual MBE/WBE reporting, and annual financial status reporting requirements, and has regularly updated timely site profile data into the ACRES database.

The FY2010 Cleanup Grant and FY2012 Assessment Grant have been closed. All funds were utilized to accomplish the goals of the grants. No funds remained at the time the grants were closed.

SPPA currently has one open EPA Grants: 1) A FY2012 RLF Grant (Project Period October 1, 2012 through September 30, 2021). The approximate \$1.5 million balance remaining in the RLF grant will be used to cleanup and redevelop sites assessed by the requested FY2019 Assessment Grant. SPPA has added \$8 million in bonds to its Development Fund, and after completing environmental due diligence, is to prepare to acquire and to redevelop property in the Greater East Side neighborhood. Without FY2019 Assessment Grant funds, however, SPPA will find it difficult to add new projects to its Brownfields Redevelopment Program.

TABLE OF APPENDICES

- A. FEDERAL APPLICATION FORM - Application for Federal Assistance SF-424 (OMB Number: 4040-0004)
- B. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT LETTER FROM THE MINNESOTA POLLUTION CONTROL AGENCY
- C. THRESHOLD CRITERIA - COMMUNITY-WIDE PROPOSAL

ATTACHMENT A

FEDERAL APPLICATION FORM

Application for Federal Assistance SF-424 (OMB Number: 4040-0004)

Application for Federal Assistance SF-424

*** 1. Type of Submission:**

- ☐ Preapplication
☒ Application
☐ Changed/Corrected Application

*** 2. Type of Application:**

- ☒ New
☐ Continuation
☐ Revision

* If Revision, select appropriate letter(s):

* Other (Specify):

* 3. Date Received:

Completed by Grants.gov upon submission.

4. Applicant Identifier:

5a. Federal Entity Identifier:

5b. Federal Award Identifier:

State Use Only:

6. Date Received by State:

7. State Application Identifier:

8. APPLICANT INFORMATION:

* a. Legal Name:

SAINT PAUL PORT AUTHORITY

* b. Employer/Taxpayer Identification Number (EIN/TIN):

* c. Organizational DUNS:

0409600230000

d. Address:

* Street1:

380 SAINT PETER STREET #380

Street2:

* City:

SAINT PAUL

County/Parish:

* State:

MINNESOTA

Province:

* Country:

USA: UNITED STATES

* Zip / Postal Code:

55102

e. Organizational Unit:

Department Name:

Division Name:

f. Name and contact information of person to be contacted on matters involving this application:

Prefix:

* First Name:

Ava

Middle Name:

* Last Name:

Langston-Kenney

Suffix:

Title: Project manager

Organizational Affiliation:

* Telephone Number:

651-204-6227

Fax Number:

* Email:

ALK@SPPA.COM

Application for Federal Assistance SF-424

* 9. Type of Applicant 1: Select Applicant Type:

LOCAL GOVERNMENT

Type of Applicant 2: Select Applicant Type:

Type of Applicant 3: Select Applicant Type:

* Other (specify):

* 10. Name of Federal Agency:

Environmental Protection Agency

11. Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number:

66.818

CFDA Title:

Brownfields Assessment and Cleanup Cooperative Agreements

* 12. Funding Opportunity Number:

EPA-OLEM-OBLR-18-06

* Title:

FY19 GUIDELINES FOR BROWNFIELDS ASSESSMENT GRANTS

13. Competition Identification Number:

Title:

14. Areas Affected by Project (Cities, Counties, States, etc.):

Add Attachment

Delete Attachment

View Attachment

* 15. Descriptive Title of Applicant's Project:

SAINT PAUL COMMUNITY WIDE BROWNFIELD ASSESSMENT GRANT

Attach supporting documents as specified in agency instructions.

Add Attachments

Delete Attachments

View Attachments

Application for Federal Assistance SF-424**16. Congressional Districts Of:**

* a. Applicant

4TH

* b. Program/Project

4TH

Attach an additional list of Program/Project Congressional Districts if needed.

Add Attachment

Delete Attachment

View Attachment

17. Proposed Project:

* a. Start Date:

09/01/2019

* b. End Date:

08/30/2021

18. Estimated Funding (\$):

* a. Federal

\$300,000 Assessment Grant

* b. Applicant

* c. State

* d. Local

* e. Other

* f. Program Income

* g. TOTAL

*** 19. Is Application Subject to Review By State Under Executive Order 12372 Process?**☐ a. This application was made available to the State under the Executive Order 12372 Process for review on .☒ b. Program is subject to E.O. 12372 but has not been selected by the State for review.☐ c. Program is not covered by E.O. 12372.*** 20. Is the Applicant Delinquent On Any Federal Debt? (If "Yes," provide explanation in attachment.)**☐ Yes☒ No

If "Yes", provide explanation and attach

Add Attachment

Delete Attachment

View Attachment

21. *By signing this application, I certify (1) to the statements contained in the list of certifications and (2) that the statements herein are true, complete and accurate to the best of my knowledge. I also provide the required assurances** and agree to comply with any resulting terms if I accept an award. I am aware that any false, fictitious, or fraudulent statements or claims may subject me to criminal, civil, or administrative penalties. (U.S. Code, Title 218, Section 1001)**

☒ ** I AGREE

** The list of certifications and assurances, or an internet site where you may obtain this list, is contained in the announcement or agency specific instructions.

Authorized Representative:

Prefix:

* First Name:

DAVID

Middle Name:

JOHN

* Last Name:

JOHNSON

Suffix:

* Title:

DEVELOPMENT SERVICES ASSOCIATE

* Telephone Number:

651-204-6223

Fax Number:

* Email:

DJJ@SPPA.COM

* Signature of Authorized Representative:

Completed by Grants.gov upon submission.

* Date Signed:

Completed by Grants.gov upon submission.

ATTACHMENT B
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT LETTER FROM THE MINNESOTA POLLUTION
CONTROL AGENCY

January 25, 2019

Ava Langston-Kenney
Saint Paul Port Authority
380 Saint Peter St, Ste 850
Saint Paul, MN 55102

RE: Saint Paul Port Authority EPA Brownfield MAC Grant Application
Letter of Support from the MPCA Brownfield Program

Dear Ava Langston-Kenney:

On behalf of the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA), we are writing to acknowledge and support the proposed assessment activities for the Saint Paul Port Authority (SPPA) in fulfillment of the criteria of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Fiscal Year 2019 Brownfields Multipurpose, Assessment, and Cleanup (MAC) Grant guidelines.

The SPPA has informed the MPCA that they intend to perform petroleum and hazardous substances assessment activities through a Community-wide Assessment Grant. Assessment activities would be focused at the Hazelwood and Hillcrest sites in the Greater East Side neighborhood.

The MPCA is supportive of the SPPA's application for the EPA Brownfield MAC Grant. Should this grant be awarded, the MPCA will partner with the SPPA to help them improve the environment and build a better future for residents in Saint Paul.

If you have any questions, please contact Shanna Schmitt at 651-757-2697 or by email at shanna.schmitt@state.mn.us or Stacey Hendry-Van Patten at 651-757-2425 or by email at stacey.vanpatten@state.mn.us.

Sincerely,

Shanna Schmitt

This document has been electronically signed.

Shanna Schmitt, PG, CPG
Hydrogeologist
Site Remediation & Redevelopment Section
Remediation Division

Stacey Hendry-Van Patten

This document has been electronically signed.

Stacey Hendry-Van Patten
Project Manager
Petroleum Remediation & Redevelopment Section
Remediation Division

SS/SVP:bhj

cc: Keary Cragan, EPA Region 5 (electronic)

ATTACHMENT C

THRESHOLD CRITERIA: COMMUNITY-WIDE PROPOSAL

THRESHOLD CRITERIA

1. APPLICANT ELIGIBILITY

Documentation of Saint Paul Port Authority eligibility (Resolutions, **Statutes**, etc.) 2018 Minnesota Statutes

469.084 ST. PAUL.

Subdivision 1. Powers related to recreational facilities.

Notwithstanding any law to the contrary, the port authority of the city of St. Paul may plan for, acquire by condemnation, purchase, or otherwise, construct, improve, operate, directly, by lease or otherwise, and maintain parks and other recreation facilities anywhere within its port district. The port authority shall establish rules on the use of the rivers, lakes, parks and recreation facilities either alone or in cooperation with the federal government or its agencies, the city of St. Paul, the state, or an agency or political subdivision of the state.

Subd. 1a. Meetings by telephone or other electronic means.

The port authority may conduct meetings as provided by section 13D.015.

Subd. 2. No police power.

The port authority does not have police power except as provided by subdivisions 1 to 8.

Subd. 3. Consent for city land.

The port authority must not take lands owned, controlled, or used by the city of St. Paul without consent of the city council.

Subd. 4. Port jurisdiction.

For all other recreation purposes, the port authority has jurisdiction over the use of all the navigable rivers or lakes and all the parks and recreation facilities abutting the rivers and lakes.

Subd. 5. Expenditures; bonds.

The port authority may spend port authority money to carry out subdivisions 1 to 8 and issue bonds for the purposes in subdivisions 1 to 8 according to either section 469.060 or 469.061.

Subd. 6. City, county plan approval.

The port authority, prior to taking action under subdivisions 1 to 8, shall submit for approval plans to acquire, improve, and operate parks and recreation facilities along navigable rivers and lakes within its port district to the city of St. Paul and shall submit the plans for all areas located within Ramsey County, whether located within or without the port district, to the county for approval.

Subd. 7. Revenue bonds; sale; rate of interest.

Notwithstanding any law to the contrary, the sale of revenue bonds issued by the port authority under section 469.061, shall be at public sale under section 475.60, or in accordance with the procedures set forth in sections 469.152 to 469.165. The bonds may be sold in the manner and for the price that the port authority determines to be for the best interest of the port authority. A sale must not be made at a price so low as to cause the average annual rate of interest on the money received from the sale to exceed eight percent per year computed by adding the amount of the discount to the total amount of interest payable on all obligations of the series to their stated maturity dates. The bonds may be made callable. If issued as callable, the bonds may be refunded.

Subd. 8.Relation to industrial development provisions.

Notwithstanding any law to the contrary, the port authority of the city of St. Paul, under sections 469.048 to 469.068 and this section, may do what a redevelopment agency may do or must do under sections 469.152 to 469.165 to further any of the purposes of sections 469.048 to 469.068 and subdivisions 1 to 8. The port authority may use its powers and duties under sections 469.048 to 469.068 and subdivisions 1 to 8 to further the purposes of sections 469.152 to 469.165. The powers and duties in subdivisions 1 to 8 are in addition to the powers and duties of the port authority under sections 469.048 to 469.068, and under sections 469.152 to 469.165. The port authority may use its powers for industrial development or to establish industrial development districts. If the term "industrial" is used in relation to industrial development purposes under sections 469.048 to 469.068, the term includes "economic" and "economic development."

Subd. 9.May join in supplying small business capital.

Notwithstanding any contrary law, the port authority of the city of St. Paul may participate with public or private corporations or other entities, whose purpose is to provide venture capital to small businesses that have facilities located or to be located in the port district. For that purpose, the port authority may use not more than ten percent of available annual net income or \$400,000 annually, whichever is less, to acquire or invest in securities of, and enter into financing arrangements and related agreements with, the corporations or entities. The participation by the port authority must not exceed in any year 25 percent of the total amount of funds provided for venture capital purposes by all of the participants. The corporation or entity shall report in writing each month to the commissioners of the port authority all investment and other action taken by it since the last report. Funds contributed to the corporation or entity must be invested pro rata with each contributor of capital taking proportional risks on each investment. As used in this subdivision, the term "small business" has the meaning given it in section 645.445, subdivision 2.

Subd. 10.Recreation facilities on Mississippi River.

The port authority of the city of Saint Paul has jurisdiction over the use of the Mississippi River for recreation purposes within its port district and may acquire and may spend port authority money for lands abutting the river within the port district to construct, operate directly, by lease or otherwise, and maintain recreation facilities. The authority shall establish rules on the use of the river and abutting lands, either individually, or in cooperation with the federal government or its agencies, the city of Saint Paul, the state, or a state agency, or political subdivision.

Subd. 11.Revenue bonds.

Notwithstanding any law or charter provision to the contrary, an issue of revenue bonds authorized by the port authority of the city of St. Paul shall be issued only with the consent of the St. Paul City Council in a resolution. Notwithstanding any law or charter provision to the contrary, a project to be financed by the port authority of the city of St. Paul by proceeds of revenue bonds shall be financed only with the consent of the St. Paul City Council in a resolution. An existing obligation, contract, collective bargaining or other agreement, fringe benefit plan, or covenant made or entered into by the St. Paul Port Authority is not impaired by this subdivision.

Subd. 12. City supervision of authority employees.

Notwithstanding any law or charter provision to the contrary, the council may, by resolution adopted by a majority of the council, place any employee of the port authority under the direction, supervision, or control of the mayor or a department of the city.

Subd. 13. Investment in commercial paper.

Notwithstanding section 118A.04 or other law, the port authority of the city of St. Paul may invest its funds in commercial paper of prime quality in the same manner as the state board of investment may invest money not currently needed.

Subd. 14. Bond for treasurer and assistant treasurer.

The treasurer and assistant treasurer of the port authority of the city of Saint Paul shall give bond to the state in sums not to exceed \$25,000 and \$10,000 respectively. The bonds must be conditioned for the faithful discharge of their duties. The bonds must be approved as to both form and surety by the port authority and must be filed with its secretary. The amount of the bonds must be set at least annually by the port authority.

Subd. 15. Bid law exemption.

If the port authority receives real property through termination of a revenue agreement, as defined in section 469.153, subdivision 10, or as the result of refinancing and contracts with a corporation to operate the property, the corporation may sell, purchase, or rent supplies, materials, or equipment, or construct, alter, expand, repair, or maintain the real property without regard to section 471.345.

History:

1987 c 291 s 85; 1991 c 98 s 1; 1996 c 399 art 2 s 12; 2000 c 286 s 1,2; 2014 c 206 s 1

2. Community Involvement

For all brownfield related assessment activities, we will engage St. Paul residents and businesses through various multimedia technology channels; specifically, our website, Twitter account, Instagram page and YouTube channel. We will also leverage our community partnerships, which spans across a broad spectrum of different community serving organizations (community councils, chambers and public art groups) all of whom have strong membership databases.

3. Expenditure of Assessment Grant Funds

The Saint Paul Port Authority does not have an active Assessment Grant.

Application for Federal Assistance SF-424

* 1. Type of Submission:

- ☐ Preapplication
☒ Application
☐ Changed/Corrected Application

* 2. Type of Application:

- ☒ New
☐ Continuation
☐ Revision

* If Revision, select appropriate letter(s):

* Other (Specify):

* 3. Date Received:

01/31/2019

4. Applicant Identifier:

5a. Federal Entity Identifier:

5b. Federal Award Identifier:

State Use Only:

6. Date Received by State:

7. State Application Identifier:

8. APPLICANT INFORMATION:

* a. Legal Name:

SAINT PAUL PORT AUTHORITY

* b. Employer/Taxpayer Identification Number (EIN/TIN):

41-6005524

* c. Organizational DUNS:

0409600230000

d. Address:

* Street1:

380 SAINT PETER STREET, SUITE 380

Street2:

* City:

SAINT PAUL

County/Parish:

* State:

MN: Minnesota

Province:

* Country:

USA: UNITED STATES

* Zip / Postal Code:

55102-1661

e. Organizational Unit:

Department Name:

Division Name:

f. Name and contact information of person to be contacted on matters involving this application:

Prefix:

* First Name:

Ava

Middle Name:

* Last Name:

Langston-Kenney

Suffix:

Title: Project Manager

Organizational Affiliation:

* Telephone Number:

651-204-6227

Fax Number:

* Email: ALK@SPPA.COM

Application for Federal Assistance SF-424

* 9. Type of Applicant 1: Select Applicant Type:

D: Special District Government

Type of Applicant 2: Select Applicant Type:

Type of Applicant 3: Select Applicant Type:

* Other (specify):

* 10. Name of Federal Agency:

Environmental Protection Agency

11. Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number:

66.818

CFDA Title:

Brownfields Assessment and Cleanup Cooperative Agreements

* 12. Funding Opportunity Number:

EPA-OLEM-OBLR-18-06

* Title:

FY19 GUIDELINES FOR BROWNFIELDS ASSESSMENT GRANTS

13. Competition Identification Number:

Title:

14. Areas Affected by Project (Cities, Counties, States, etc.):

Add Attachment

Delete Attachment

View Attachment

* 15. Descriptive Title of Applicant's Project:

Saint Paul Community Wide Brownfield Assessment Grant

Attach supporting documents as specified in agency instructions.

Add Attachments

Delete Attachments

View Attachments

Application for Federal Assistance SF-424**16. Congressional Districts Of:**

* a. Applicant

4TH

* b. Program/Project

4TH

Attach an additional list of Program/Project Congressional Districts if needed.

Add Attachment

Delete Attachment

View Attachment

17. Proposed Project:

* a. Start Date:

09/01/2019

* b. End Date:

08/30/2021

18. Estimated Funding (\$):

* a. Federal	300,000.00
* b. Applicant	0.00
* c. State	0.00
* d. Local	0.00
* e. Other	0.00
* f. Program Income	0.00
* g. TOTAL	300,000.00

*** 19. Is Application Subject to Review By State Under Executive Order 12372 Process?**

- ☐ a. This application was made available to the State under the Executive Order 12372 Process for review on .
- ☒ b. Program is subject to E.O. 12372 but has not been selected by the State for review.
- ☐ c. Program is not covered by E.O. 12372.

*** 20. Is the Applicant Delinquent On Any Federal Debt? (If "Yes," provide explanation in attachment.)**☐ Yes ☒ No

If "Yes", provide explanation and attach

Add Attachment

Delete Attachment

View Attachment

21. *By signing this application, I certify (1) to the statements contained in the list of certifications and (2) that the statements herein are true, complete and accurate to the best of my knowledge. I also provide the required assurances** and agree to comply with any resulting terms if I accept an award. I am aware that any false, fictitious, or fraudulent statements or claims may subject me to criminal, civil, or administrative penalties. (U.S. Code, Title 218, Section 1001)**

☒ ** I AGREE

** The list of certifications and assurances, or an internet site where you may obtain this list, is contained in the announcement or agency specific instructions.

Authorized Representative:

Prefix:

* First Name:

David

Middle Name:

* Last Name:

Johnson

Suffix:

* Title:

DEVELOPMENT SERVICES ASSOCIATE

* Telephone Number:

6512046223

Fax Number:

* Email:

djj@sppa.com

* Signature of Authorized Representative:

DAVID J JOHNSON

* Date Signed:

01/31/2019